UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.   | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/534,129  | 11/04/2005  | Lutz Heidrich        | 095309.56264US      | 8467             |
| 23911 7590 10/07/2008<br>CROWELL & MORING LLP<br>INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY GROUP<br>P.O. BOX 14300<br>WASHINGTON, DC 20044-4300 |             |                      | EXAMINER            |                  |
|   |             |                      | PAPE, ZACHARY       |                  |
|   |             |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
|   |             |                      | 2835                |                  |
|   |             |                      |                     |                  |
|   |             |                      | MAIL DATE           | DELIVERY MODE    |
|   |             |                      | 10/07/2008          | PAPER            |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

|  | Application No.   | Applicant(s)                            |  |  |
|--|---|---|--|--|
|  | 10/534,129  | HEIDRICH, LUTZ                          |  |  |
| Notice of Abandonment  | Examiner  | Art Unit                                |  |  |
|  | ZACHARY M. PAPE   | 2835                                    |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app   |   | I I                                     |  |  |
|  |   | •                                       |  |  |
| This application is abandoned in view of:  |   |   |  |  |
| <ol> <li>Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office</li> <li>A reply was received on (with a Certificate of N period for reply (including a total extension of time of, but it does</li> </ol>  | Mailing or Transmission dated<br>month(s)) which expired on | ·                                       |  |  |
| (A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C   | Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee);                         |   |  |  |
| (c) A reply was received on but it does not constitutional rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See   |   | mpt at a proper reply, to the non-      |  |  |
| (d) 🛛 No reply has been received.  |   |   |  |  |
| <ul> <li>2.  Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-8 (a)  The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was), which is after the expiration of the statutory per Allowance (PTOL-85).</li> </ul> | 5).<br>s received on (with a Certifica                      | ate of Mailing or Transmission dated    |  |  |
| (b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance   | e of \$ is due.   |   |  |  |
| The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$  | The publication fee, if required by 37                      | CFR 1.18(d), is \$                      |  |  |
| (c) $\square$ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has no   | ot been received.   |   |  |  |
| <ul> <li>3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as requallowability (PTO-37).</li> <li>(a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on</li> </ul>   |   |   |  |  |
| after the expiration of the period for reply.  |   |   |  |  |
| (b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received.  |   |   |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the<br/>the applicants.</li> </ol>   | e attorney or agent of record, the ass                      | ignee of the entire interest, or all of |  |  |
| 5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.   | attorney or agent (acting in a repres                       | entative capacity under 37 CFR          |  |  |
| 6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference of the decision has expired and there are no allowed clair   |   | e the period for seeking court review   |  |  |
| 7. ☐ The reason(s) below:  |   |   |  |  |
| Examiner phoned Mr. Edwards however no reply wa  | as received.  |   |  |  |
| /Jayprakash N Gandhi/<br>Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2835  |   |   |  |  |
| Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to   |   |   |  |  |